

The Symmetry between Arms and Knapsacks: A Primal-Dual Approach for Bandits with Knapsacks

Xiaocheng Li¹, Chunlin Sun², Yinyu Ye³ ¹Imperial College Business School

²Institute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering, Stanford University ³ Department of Management Science and Engineering, Stanford University

1. Multi-Armed Bandit with Knapsack



- **Bandit:** Consider the bandit machine has *m* arms with fixed but unknown mean reward μ_i and mean cost $c_i \in \mathbf{R}^d_+$ for *i* in 1, ..., *m*
- **Knapsack:** Known total budget $B \in \mathbf{R}^{d}_{+}$ and the length of time horizon T
- Rule: The decision maker selects one arm to pull at each step and then observes the realized reward and cost of the chosen arm
- Goal: Maximize the total reward until any type of budgets is depleted or time up.

2. Underlying Linear Programming Problem

The optimal objective value OPT_{LP} of the following LP is an upper bound of the expected optimal reward,

 $OPT_{LP} \coloneqq \max \mu^{\top} x$

s.t.
$$Cx \leq B$$

 $x \geq 0$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_m)^T$, $C = (c_1, ..., c_m)$, and x = $(x_1, ..., x_m)^T \in \mathbf{R}^m$. Denote x^* as its optimal solution and OPT_{LP} as its optimal objective value

- If the model is deterministic, the solution of the above LP gives the optimal policy. Here, x_i represents the number of drawing *i*-th arm.
- Denote $\mathcal{I}^* = \{i: x_i^* > 0\}$ as the index set of optimal arms, $\mathcal{J}^* = \{j : (B > Cx^*)_i\}$ as the index set of binding resources, and \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J}' as index sets of sub-optimal arms and non-binding resources, respectively.

3. Motivation- A Regret Upper Bound

- The regret can be bounded by
- $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{q}} \Delta_i \mathbf{E}[n_i] + \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{B}^{(\tau)} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{y}^*$, where Δ_i is the reduced cost for the *i*-th arm, n_i is the number of times that *i*-th arm is pulled, $B^{(\tau)}$ is the remaining resources at the termination time, and y^* is the optimal dual price.
- The first term is interpreted as the cost of playing • sub-optimal arms; the second term is interpreted as the cost of wasted binding resources.

Thus, to maximize the reward, the decision maker should

- play less sub-optimal arms (optimal arms identification)
- fully consume binding resources (binding resources identification and adaptively procedure to play optimal arms)

4. Symmetry between Arms and Knapsack

- With mild conditions, $|\mathcal{I}^*| = |\mathcal{J}^*|$.
- Denote OPT_i as the optimal objective value of following LP. OPT_i := max $\mu^{\top} x$,

s.t. $Cx \leq B$, $x_i = 0, x \ge 0.$

then, $i \in \mathcal{J}^* \Leftrightarrow OPT_i = OPT_{IP}$ and $i \in \mathcal{J}' \Leftrightarrow OPT_i <$ OPT_{LP}.

Denote OPT_i as the optimal objective value of following LP, $OPT_j = \max_{\mu} \mu^T x - (B - Cx)_j$,

s.t. $\sum Cx \leq B$,

Then, $j \in \mathcal{J}^* \Leftrightarrow \text{OPT}_i = \overset{x \ge 0.}{\text{OPT}_{LP}} \text{ and } j \in \mathcal{J}' \Leftrightarrow$ $OPT_i < OPT_{LP}$.

- Set $\delta = \frac{1}{r} (OPT_{LP} max\{\max_{i \in \mathcal{Q}^*} \{OPT_i\}, \max_{i \in \mathcal{Q}'} \{OPT_j\}\})$. It characterizes the hardness of distinguishing optimal arms from non-optimal arms, and binding resources from non-binding resources.
- δ is also a generalization of the sub-optimality measure for multi-armed bandit problem.

5. UCB and LCB

- When the sample size is not large enough, the bias between real mean and sample mean might be large. This difference might mislead the algorithm to find true optimal arms and binding resources. To avoid this case, we apply the upper confidence bound and lower confidence bound techniques by considering both UCB and LCB of rewards and costs of each arm instead of sample mean as literatures.
- Denote μ^{U} , μ^{L} as the UCB and LCB of μ , and denote C^{U} , C^{L} as the UCB and LCB of C. The optimal objective value of following two LPs are UCB and LCB of OPT_{LP}.

```
OPT_{LP}^U \coloneqq \max \left( \mu^U \right)^\top x,
                                                  OPT_{LP}^L \coloneqq \max (\mu^L)^\top x,
                s.t. C^L x \leq B,
                                                                    s.t. C^U x \leq B.
                       x \ge 0.
                                                                          x \ge 0.
```

- OPT_{LP}^{U} and OPT_{LP}^{L} converge to OPT_{LP}
- Similarly, define OPT_i^U , OPT_i^U , OPT_i^L and OPT_i^L for all arms and resources. They also satisfy the convergence property.
- As the size of samples are large enough, following inequalities hold: $OPT_{IP}^{L} > OPT_{i}^{U}$ for all optimal-arms and $OPT_{LP}^{L} > OPT_{i}^{U}$ for all non-binding resources. However, $OPT_{LP}^{L} \leq OPT_{i}^{U}$ and $OPT_{LP}^{L} \leq OPT_{i}^{U}$ always hold for all sub-optimal arms and non-binding resources

7. Theoretical Analysis

- During the Phase one, each arm will be played for no more than $O(\frac{\log T}{s^2})$ times. Moreover, with probability no less than $1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\pi^2}\right)$, the algorithm can identify true optimal arms and binding resources.
- If the budget is large enough, $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{B}^{(\tau)}] = O(\frac{1}{s_2})$
- The regret of this algorithm can be bounded by $O(\frac{\log T}{\delta^2})$

6. Primal-dual Adaptive Algorithm

```
Algorithm 1 Primal-dual Adaptive Algorithm for BwK
 1: Input: Resource capacity B, T
 2: %% Phase I: Identification of \mathcal{I}^* and \mathcal{J}'
 3: Initialize \hat{I}^* = \hat{J}' = \emptyset, t = 0
 4: Initialize the knapsack process \boldsymbol{B}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{B}
 5: while |\hat{\mathcal{I}}^*| + |\hat{\mathcal{J}}'| < d do
         Play each arm i \in [m] once
          Update t = t + m and the knapsack process B^{(t)}
         Update the estimates \hat{\mu}(t) and \hat{C}(t)
         Solve the LCB problem and obtain OPT_{LP}^{L}(t)
         for i \notin \hat{I}^* do
10.
              Solve the following UCB problem for OPT_i
11:
                                                 OPT_i^U(t) := \max_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} (\boldsymbol{\mu}^U(t))^\top \boldsymbol{x},
                                                                   s.t. C^L(t)x \leq B,
                                                                         x_i = 0, x \ge 0.
             if OPT_{LP}^{L}(t) > OPT_{i}^{U}(t) then
12:
13:
                  Update \hat{\mathcal{I}}^* = \hat{\mathcal{I}}^* \cup \{i\}
              end if
14:
15:
         end for
        for j \notin \hat{\mathcal{J}}' do
16:
              Solve the following UCB problem for OPT_j
                                       OPT_j^U(t) := \min \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{y} - B,
                                                         s.t. (C^{L}(t))^{\top} y \geq \mu^{U}(t) + C^{U}_{i,\cdot}(t),
                                                                y \ge 0.
             if OPT_{LP}^{L}(t) > OPT_{i}^{U}(t) then
19:
                 Update \hat{\mathcal{J}}' = \hat{\mathcal{J}}' \cup \{j\}
              end if
20.
         end for
21:
22: end while
23: Update t = t + 1
24: %% Phase II: Exhausting the binding resources
25: while t \leq \tau do
       Solve the following LP
                                                     \max \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{U}(t-1)\right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{x},
                                                      s.t. C^{L}(t-1)x \leq B^{(t-1)}
                                                            x_i = 0, i \notin \mathcal{I}^*,
                                                            T \ge 0
         Denote its optimal solution as \tilde{x}
          Normalize \tilde{x} into a probability and randomly play an arm according to the probability
28:
         Update estimates \hat{\mu}(t), \hat{C}(t), and B^{(t)}
30.
        Update t = t + 1
31. end while
```

18:

27

